

## Hypothesis Tests - General (3 pages; 22/5/20)

See also "Hypothesis Tests - Types"

(1) When an extreme value occurs, there are two possible explanations: (a)  $H_0$  is correct, and the extreme value occurred by chance, and (b)  $H_0$  is not correct.

The hypothesis test establishes whether explanation (a) is too implausible to be the correct one.

If  $H_0$  really is true, there will still be occasions when implausibly extreme values occur, but we are prepared to be wrong 5% of the time (if the significance level is 5%). For a one-tailed test, this will happen when  $H_0$  is true and the value is greater than or equal to some critical value (CV), such that  $P(X \geq CV) = 0.05$

(2) In order for a test to be properly valid, a new sample needs to be taken when investigating a hypothesis (rather than basing the test on the data that gave rise to the hypothesis in the first place).

(3) One-tailed or two-tailed?

**Situation A:** A casino is being investigated to see whether a particular die being used is biased. (Suppose that a gambler wins money if a 6 is thrown.)

**Situation B:** A dice-making factory is suspected of producing biased dice because of faulty equipment.

Binomial trials can be carried out in each case. Let  $X$  be the number of sixes obtained in 120 trials, so that  $X \sim B(120, p)$ , where  $p$  is the probability of obtaining a six when a die is thrown.

For situation A, we have  $H_0: p = \frac{1}{6}$ ,  $H_1: p < \frac{1}{6}$  (one-tailed test),

and for situation B, we have  $H_0: p = \frac{1}{6}$ ,  $H_1: p \neq \frac{1}{6}$  (two-tailed test).

Let the significance level be 5%.

Suppose that a value of 28 is obtained for  $X$ .

In the case of situation A,  $H_0$  could be defended if it could be shown that results as suspicious as this - or more so - are expected to occur at least 5% of the time.

But it can be found that  $P(X \geq 28 | H_0 \text{ is true}) = 0.0373$  (3sf), and so  $H_0$  is rejected, as  $0.0373 < 0.05$ .

In the case of situation B, a suspicious event would be a large value for  $X$  or a small one.  $H_0$  could again be defended if it could be shown that results as suspicious as this - or more so - are expected to occur at least 5% of the time, but as these are spread over the two tails, for  $H_0$  to be accepted we want

$P(X \geq 28 | H_0 \text{ is true})$  to be no less than 2.5%. So, as  $0.0373 > 0.025$ , we can accept  $H_0$ .

(4) In general, a hypothesis test can be carried out in either of the following ways:

(a) Reject  $H_0$  if  $P(X \geq TS) < 0.05$  (for a one-tailed test at the 5% significance level), where  $TS$  (the test statistic) is the value of  $X$  obtained from the sample.

(b) Establish the critical region, and reject  $H_0$  if  $TS$  lies within it.

This may be the best approach if multiple tests are to be carried out.

(5) It is recommended to express the conclusion of a hypothesis test both (a) formally and (b) 'in context', in layman's terms.

For example:

"As 13.2 [the test statistic] > 12.7 [the critical value], reject  $H_0$  at the 5% significance level. There is enough evidence to conclude that average rainfall has increased."

(6) It is also possible to use a confidence interval to test a hypothesis (ie reject  $H_0$  if the value proposed by  $H_0$  lies outside the appropriate interval).