Numerical Integration (20 pages; 31/3/20)
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[Note: Because the expression 'absolute error' is now used to mean $x-A$ (where $x$ is the observed value and $A$ is the actual (ie true) value), as opposed to the 'relative error' $\frac{x-A}{A}$ ), I will use 'absolute size' of $y$ to indicate $|y|$. However, this isn't a standard expression.]
(A) Midpoint rule (aka the mid-ordinate rule)
(1) $M_{n}$ approximates the area under the curve by the sum of the areas of $n$ rectangles, where the height of each rectangle is determined by the value of the function at the midpoint of the rectangle. This is shown below for $n=1,2 \& 3$.

Example: $\int_{1}^{2} \frac{1}{x} d x$
Exact value $=[\ln x]_{1}^{2}=\ln 2-\ln 1=\ln 2=0.69315(5 \mathrm{dp})$


$$
M_{1}=\frac{1}{1.5} \times 1=0.66667
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{2}=\left(\frac{1}{1.25}+\frac{1}{1.75}\right) \times 0.5=0.68571 \\
& M_{3}=\left(\frac{1}{7 / 6}+\frac{1}{9 / 6}+\frac{1}{11 / 6}\right) \times \frac{1}{3}=0.68975
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) The general formula is

$$
M_{n}=h\left(f_{0.5}+f_{1.5}+\ldots+f_{n-0.5}\right)
$$

where $h$ is the width of each rectangle.

## (B) Trapezium rule

(1) $T_{n}$ approximates the area under the curve by the sum of the areas of $n$ trapezia, where the sides of each trapezium are the values of the function. This is shown below for $n=1,2 \& 3$ (for the same example as for the midpoint rule, where the exact value was 0.69315).

$T_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \times 1=0.75$

$T_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{1}+\frac{1}{1.5}\right) \times 0.5+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{1.5}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \times 0.5$
$=\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{1}+\frac{1}{2}+2\left(\frac{1}{1.5}\right)\right]=0.70833$
$T_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{1}+\frac{1}{2}+2\left(\frac{1}{4 / 3}+\frac{1}{5 / 3}\right)\right) \times \frac{1}{3}=0.7$
(2) The general formula is

$$
T_{n}=\frac{1}{2} h\left\{f_{0}+f_{n}+2\left(f_{1}+f_{2}+\cdots+f_{n-1}\right)\right\}
$$

where $h$ is the width of each trapezium.

(C) Connections between the Trapezium and Midpoint rules
(1) It can be shown that $T_{2 n}=1 / 2\left(T_{n}+M_{n}\right)$

## Proof

Let $W$ be the width of the interval being considered.
As $T_{n}=\frac{1}{2} h\left\{f_{0}+f_{n}+2\left(f_{1}+f_{2}+\cdots+f_{n-1}\right)\right\}$,
$T_{2 n}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{W}{2 n}\right)\left\{f_{0}+f_{2 n}+2\left(f_{1}+f_{2}+\cdots+f_{2 n-1}\right)\right\}$
(noting that $f_{1}, f_{2}$ etc are now defined differently).
Using the definitions of $f_{1}, f_{2}$ that appear in $T_{2 n}$,
$T_{n}$ becomes $\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{W}{n}\right)\left\{f_{0}+f_{2 n}+2\left(f_{2}+f_{4}+\cdots+f_{2 n-2}\right)\right\}$.
Also, $M_{n}$ becomes $\left(\frac{W}{n}\right)\left(f_{1}+f_{3}+\ldots+f_{2 n-1}\right)$,
and so $T_{n}+M_{n}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{W}{n}\right)\left\{f_{0}+f_{2 n}++2\left(f_{2}+f_{4}+\cdots+f_{2 n-2}\right)\right.$

$$
\left.+2\left(f_{1}+f_{3}+\ldots+f_{2 n-1}\right)\right\}
$$

$=2 T_{2 n}$, as required.
(2) Exercise: Demonstrate that $T_{4}=1 / 2\left(T_{2}+M_{2}\right)$

## Solution



$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{2}=\frac{1}{2}(2 h)\left(f_{0}+2 f_{2}+f_{4}\right) \\
& M_{2}=(2 h)\left(f_{1}+f_{3}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\left(T_{2}+M_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} h\left(\left[f_{0}+2 f_{2}+f_{4}\right]+\left[2 f_{1}+2 f_{3}\right]\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} h\left(f_{0}+2\left[f_{1}+f_{2}+f_{3}\right]+f_{4}\right)=T_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) Comparison of values from Trapezium \& Midpoint rules (from the earlier example of $y=\frac{1}{x}$ )

| $n$ | $T_{n}$ | $M_{n}$ | $\frac{T_{n}-A}{A} \times 100 \%$ | $\frac{M_{n}-A}{A} \times 100 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| A(actual) | 0.69315 | 0.69315 |  |  |
| 1 | 0.75 | 0.66667 | $8.202 \%$ | $-3.820 \%$ |
| 2 | 0.70833 | 0.68571 | $2.190 \%$ | $-1.073 \%$ |
| 3 | 0.7 | 0.68975 | $0.988 \%$ | $-0.491 \%$ |

(4) In the table above, the $T_{n}$ fall towards the actual value, whilst the $M_{n}$ rise. $y=\frac{1}{x}$ is an example of a convex function. The diagram below shows the Midpoint and Trapezium rules being applied to a general convex function.
[A convex function is one such as $y=e^{x}$ (think of conve ${ }^{x}$ ), whilst a concave function is one such as $y=-x^{2}$. (See "Convexity and concavity" note.)]


For a convex function, $M_{n}<A<T_{n}$,
where $A$ is the actual value of the area under the curve.
$A<T_{n}$ is clear from the diagram
To see why $M_{n}<A$, we draw the tangent to the curve at the midpoint $C$ (the line BCD in the diagram). As the function is convex, the curve lies above the tangent on both sides of $C$, so that the trapezium BCDEFG has an area smaller than A. This trapezium has the same area as the rectangle in the mid-point rule, with base GE and height FC.

As the number of strips is increased, the $M_{n}$ will approach $A$ from below, whilst the $T_{n}$ will approach $A$ from above. An interval estimate is also provided by $\left(M_{n}, T_{n}\right)$.

Similarly for a concave function, $T_{n}<A<M_{n}$.
In practice, a curve may need to be split up into convex and concave parts.
(5) In the table above, the absolute size of the relative error for $T_{n}$ is seen to be approximately twice that for $M_{n}$;
ie $\left|\frac{T_{n}-A}{A} \times 100\right| \approx 2\left|\frac{M_{n}-A}{A} \times 100\right|$
This leads to: $\left|T_{n}-A\right| \approx 2\left|M_{n}-A\right|$
For a convex function, $T_{n}-A \approx 2\left(A-M_{n}\right)$
and for a concave function, $A-T_{n} \approx 2\left(M_{n}-A\right)$

Proof for the concave case (where $\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{n}}<\boldsymbol{A}<\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ )
$T_{2 n}=1 / 2\left(T_{n}+M_{n}\right)$ and $T_{2 n}-A \approx \frac{1}{4}\left(T_{n}-A\right)$
so that $1 / 2\left(T_{n}+M_{n}\right)-A \approx \frac{1}{4}\left(T_{n}-A\right)$
$\Rightarrow 2 T_{n}+2 M_{n}-4 A \approx T_{n}-A$
$\Rightarrow T_{n}-A \approx 2 A-2 M_{n}$
$\Rightarrow A-T_{n} \approx 2\left(M_{n}-A\right)$

## (D) Simpson's Rule

(1) Given a number of points on the curve, Simpson's rule is derived by fitting a series of overlapping quadratic curves to the points. (Note that, unless they lie on a straight line, a quadratic curve can be found that passes through any 3 points.)

[Note: The diagram doesn't show the original curve; just the points on it and the quadratic curves fitted to those points.] Referring to the diagram above, if $y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{6}$ are the given $y$ values (or 'ordinates'), then the first quadratic curve is fitted to the points $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right),\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \&\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$; the second quadratic curve is fitted to the points $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right),\left(x_{3}, y_{3}\right) \&\left(x_{4}, y_{4}\right)$, and so on.

Integration is then used to find the areas under the quadratic curves, and these are added to give the final formula, which for the above example is:
$S_{6}=\frac{h}{3}\left(y_{0}+y_{6}+4\left(y_{1}+y_{3}+y_{5}\right)+2\left(y_{2}+y_{4}\right)\right)$
(to be proved shortly)

## Notes

(i) This is the notation that is now used in the MEI exams. The 6 in $S_{6}$ is the number of strips. In an alternative notation, $S_{3}$ is written instead of $S_{6}$. (With the $S_{3}$ notation, the formula $S_{3}=\frac{2 M_{3}+T_{3}}{3}$ is obtained (see below), as opposed to $S_{6}=\frac{2 M_{3}+T_{3}}{3}$ under the new MEI notation.) For Edexcel and AQA, it would seem that the $S_{n}$
and $S_{2 n}$ notations are avoided (instead they say, for example, "Use Simpson's rule with 8 strips").
(ii) The quadratic functions can be found by either Newton's Forward Difference method or Lagrange's method (see separate notes).

## (2) Proof



Let the quadratic function in the above diagram be
$y=p x^{2}+q x+b$
The area under the curve is $\int_{-h}^{h} p x^{2}+q x+b d x$
$=\left[\frac{p x^{3}}{3}+\frac{q x^{2}}{2}+b x\right]_{-h}^{h}=\frac{2 p h^{3}}{3}+2 b h$
Also $p h^{2}-q h+b=a$ (2) and $p h^{2}+q h+b=c$
Adding (1) \& (2) gives $2 p h^{2}+2 b=a+c$ (4)
Then from (1) \& (4),
Area $=\frac{h}{3}(a+c-2 b+6 b)=\frac{h}{3}(a+4 b+c)$


For 3 quadratic curves, the area is therefore
$S_{6}=\frac{h}{3}\left(\left[y_{0}+4 y_{1}+y_{2}\right]+\left[y_{2}+4 y_{3}+y_{4}\right]+\left[y_{4}+4 y_{5}+y_{6}\right]\right)$
$=\frac{h}{3}\left(y_{0}+y_{6}+4\left(y_{1}+y_{3}+y_{5}\right)+2\left(y_{2}+y_{4}\right)\right)$, as required.
(3) This extends to the following general formula:
$S_{2 n}=\frac{1}{3} h\left\{f_{0}+f_{2 n}+4\left(f_{1}+f_{3}+\cdots+f_{2 n-1}\right)\right.$
$\left.+2\left(f_{2}+f_{4}+\cdots+f_{2 n-2}\right)\right\}$,
where the odd ordinates are multiplied by 4 , and the even ones (except the first and last) are multiplied by 2.
(E) Connections between Simpson's rule and the Trapezium and Midpoint rules
(1) $S_{2 n}=\frac{2 M_{n}+T_{n}}{3}$

This result is not approximate. In practice, it will usually be more convenient to find $S_{2 n}$ in this way, rather than from the basic definition.

Demonstration for $S_{6}$ :


We are dealing with 3 trapezia and 3 rectangles here, with bases of $2 h$ :
$T_{3}=\frac{2 h}{2}\left(y_{0}+y_{6}+2\left(y_{2}+y_{4}\right)\right)$
$M_{3}=2 h\left(y_{1}+y_{3}+y_{5}\right)$

So $\frac{2 M_{3}+T_{3}}{3}=\frac{h}{3}\left(4\left(y_{1}+y_{3}+y_{5}\right)+\left(y_{0}+y_{6}+2\left(y_{2}+y_{4}\right)\right)\right)$
$=\frac{h}{3}\left(y_{0}+y_{6}+4\left(y_{1}+y_{3}+y_{5}\right)+2\left(y_{2}+y_{4}\right)\right)=S_{6}$
(2) It was seen earlier that the absolute size of the relative error for $T_{n}$ is approximately twice that for $M_{n}$. This is consistent with the formula $S_{2 n}=\frac{2 M_{n}+T_{n}}{3}: S_{2 n}$ is more accurate than the other two methods (with the quadratic function producing a better fit), and can therefore be taken to be approximately equal to $A$, the actual value. Then, from the formula, A is approximately the given weighted average of $M_{n}$ and $T_{n}$ (see diagram below), with $T_{n}-A \approx 2\left(A-M_{n}\right)\left(\right.$ if $\left.T_{n}>M_{n}\right)$.


Thus $M_{n}$ is more accurate than $T_{n}$, and $S_{2 n}$ is more accurate than $M_{n}$.

## (3) Exercise

Show that $S_{4}=\frac{2 M_{2}+T_{2}}{3}$, from the basic definitions.

## Solution



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2 M_{2}+T_{2}}{3}=\frac{2}{3}(2 h)\left(f_{1}+f_{3}\right)+\frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2}(2 h)\left(f_{0}+2 f_{2}+f_{4}\right) \\
& =\frac{h}{3}\left(4 f_{1}+4 f_{3}+f_{0}+2 f_{2}+f_{4}\right) \\
& =\frac{h}{3}\left(f_{0}+f_{4}+4\left(f_{1}+f_{3}\right)+2 f_{2}\right) \\
& =S_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

(4) The table below applies this relation to the $y=\frac{1}{x}$ example earlier on, and shows that the Simpson's rule estimate is much closer to the exact value.

|  | A | B | C | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $n$ | $T_{n}$ | $M_{n}$ | $S_{2 n}=\frac{2}{3} M_{n}+\frac{1}{3} T_{n}$ |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1 | 0.75 | 0.66667 | 0.69445 |
| 5 | 2 | 0.70833 | 0.68571 | 0.69325 |
| 6 | 3 | 0.7 | 0.68975 | 0.69317 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Exact | 0.69315 | 0.69315 | 0.69315 |

(5) Example: $\int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{\sin x+1} d x$

$M_{2}=0.5(f(0.25)+f(0.75))$
$f(0.25)=\sqrt{\sin (0.25)+1}=1.11687$
$f(0.75)=\sqrt{\sin (0.75)+1}=1.29678$
$M_{2}=0.5(1.11687+1.29678)=1.20683$
$T_{n}=\frac{1}{2} h\left\{\mathrm{f}_{0}+f_{n}+2\left(f_{1}+f_{2}+\cdots+f_{n-1}\right)\right\}$
$T_{2}=\frac{1}{2}(0.5)\{f(0)+f(1)+2 f(0.5)\}$
$f(0)=\sqrt{\sin (0)+1}=1$
$f(0.5)=\sqrt{\sin (0.5)+1}=1.21632$
$f(1)=\sqrt{\sin (1)+1}=1.35701$
$T_{2}=\frac{1}{2}(0.5)\{1+1.35701+2(1.21632)\}=1.19741$
$S_{4}=\frac{2 M_{2}+T_{2}}{3}=\frac{2(1.20683)+1.19741}{3}=1.20369$
$T_{2 n}=\frac{1}{2}\left(T_{n}+M_{n}\right)$
$T_{4}=\frac{1}{2}\left(T_{2}+M_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}(1.19741+1.20683)=1.20212$
$M_{4}=0.25(f(0.125)+f(0.375)+f(0.625)+f(0.875))$
$=0.25(1.06051+1.16888+1.25901+1.32949)$
$=1.20447$
$S_{8}=\frac{2 M_{4}+T_{4}}{3}=\frac{2(1.20447)+1.20212}{3}=1.20369$
(6) $S_{2 n}=\frac{4 T_{2 n}-T_{n}}{3}$

Proof

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{2 n}=\frac{2 M_{n}+T_{n}}{3} \text { and } T_{2 n}=1 / 2\left(T_{n}+M_{n}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow S_{2 n}=\frac{2\left(2 T_{2 n}-T_{n}\right)+T_{n}}{3}=\frac{4 T_{2 n}-T_{n}}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

## (F) Speed of convergence

(1) A sequence is said to have 1st order convergence if $e_{r+1} \approx k e_{r}($ where $|k|<1)$, where $e_{r}=x_{r}-\alpha$

2nd order convergence is when $e_{r+1} \approx k e_{r}^{2}$ (again, with $|k|<1$ )

In the case of 1 st order convergence, we can show that $\frac{x_{r+1}-x_{r}}{x_{r}-x_{r-1}}$ (the 'ratio of differences') $\approx k$

## Proof

$e_{r}=x_{r}-\alpha$ and $e_{r+1}=x_{r+1}-\alpha$
$e_{r} \approx k e_{r-1}$ and $e_{r+1} \approx k e_{r}$
So $\frac{x_{r+1}-x_{r}}{x_{r}-x_{r-1}}=\frac{\left(\alpha+e_{r+1}\right)-\left(\alpha+e_{r}\right)}{\left(\alpha+e_{r}\right)-\left(\alpha+e_{r-1}\right)}=\frac{e_{r+1}-e_{r}}{e_{r}-e_{r-1}}=\frac{k e_{r}-k e_{r-1}}{e_{r}-e_{r-1}} \approx k$
(2) For the Midpoint \& Trapezium rules, it can be shown that the absolute size of the error (ie $\left|M_{n}-A\right|$ or $\left|T_{n}-A\right|$ ) is approximately proportional to $h^{2}$.

The Midpoint \& Trapezium rules are accordingly described as '2nd order' methods.

So the absolute size of the error in $M_{n} \approx \lambda h^{2}$ and the absolute size of the error in $M_{2 n} \approx \lambda\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^{2}$ (and similarly for the Trapezium rule).
Hence $\frac{\text { absolute size of error in } M_{2 n}}{\text { absolute size of error in } M_{n}} \approx \frac{\lambda\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^{2}}{\lambda h^{2}}=\frac{1}{4}$
and the absolute size of error in $M_{2 n} \approx \frac{1}{4} \times$ absolute size of error in $M_{n}$ (and similarly for the Trapezium rule).

This means that the Midpoint \& Trapezium rules both have 1st order convergence.
[Note the confusing terminology: 2nd order method, but 1st order convergence.]

Also, it can be shown that, for the Simpson's rule:
absolute size of error in $S_{2 n} \approx \lambda h^{4}$
so that $\frac{\text { absolute size of error in } S_{4 n}}{\text { absolute size of error in } S_{2 n}} \approx \frac{\lambda\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^{4}}{\lambda h^{4}}=\frac{1}{16}$
Simpson's rule is a 4th order method, but again having 1st order convergence, as
absolute size of error in $S_{4 n}$ $\approx \frac{1}{16} \times$ absolute size of error in $S_{2 n}$

## (3) Obtaining a better estimate of the integral by extrapolation

The result $\frac{x_{r+1}-x_{r}}{x_{r}-x_{r-1}} \approx k$ in the case of 1 st order convergence can be applied to $M_{n}$ (and also $T_{n}$ and $S_{2 n}$ ). Here the sequence is $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{4}, \ldots$ (ie $x_{3}=M_{4}$ ) and $\frac{M_{4}-M_{2}}{M_{2}-M_{1}}, \frac{M_{8}-M_{4}}{M_{4}-M_{2}}, \frac{M_{16}-M_{8}}{M_{8}-M_{4}} \ldots . \approx \frac{1}{4}$ Similarly, $\frac{T_{4}-T_{2}}{T_{2}-T_{1}}, \frac{T_{8}-T_{4}}{T_{4}-T_{2}}, \frac{T_{16}-T_{8}}{T_{8}-T_{4}} \ldots \approx \frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{S_{8}-S_{4}}{S_{4}-S_{2}}, \frac{S_{16}-S_{8}}{S_{8}-S_{4}} \ldots . . \approx \frac{1}{16}$ (note that $S_{1}$ isn't defined)

Then, for the Trapezium rule (for example):
$T_{32}-T_{16} \approx \frac{1}{4}\left(T_{16}-T_{8}\right)$
and hence $T_{32} \approx T_{16}+\frac{1}{4}\left(T_{16}-T_{8}\right)$
ie given $T_{8} \& T_{16}$, we can estimate $T_{32}$ (which will be a better estimate for the integral $A$ )

Similarly $T_{64} \approx T_{32}+\frac{1}{4}\left(T_{32}-T_{16}\right)\left(1^{\prime \prime}\right)$
So, from (1') \& (1),
$T_{64} \approx\left[T_{16}+\frac{1}{4}\left(T_{16}-T_{8}\right)\right]+\frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{4}\left(T_{16}-T_{8}\right)$
And $T_{128} \approx T_{64}+\frac{1}{4}\left(T_{64}-T_{32}\right)$
so that, from (3) \& (2)
$T_{128} \approx\left[T_{16}+\frac{1}{4}\left(T_{16}-T_{8}\right)+\frac{1}{4^{2}}\left(T_{16}-T_{8}\right)\right]+\frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{4}\left(T_{32}-T_{16}\right)$,
as $T_{64}-T_{32} \approx \frac{1}{4}\left(T_{32}-T_{16}\right)$, from (1')
So $T_{128} \approx T_{16}+\frac{1}{4}\left(T_{16}-T_{8}\right)+\frac{1}{4^{2}}\left(T_{16}-T_{8}\right)+\frac{1}{4^{3}}\left(T_{16}-T_{8}\right)$,
from (1)
and, repeating this process,
$A \approx T_{16}+\frac{1}{4}\left(T_{16}-T_{8}\right)+\frac{1}{4^{2}}\left(T_{16}-T_{8}\right)+\frac{1}{4^{3}}\left(T_{16}-T_{8}\right)+\cdots$
$\approx T_{16}+\frac{1}{4}\left(T_{16}-T_{8}\right) \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{4}}$
$=T_{16}+\frac{1}{3}\left(T_{16}-T_{8}\right)$

Naturally we would use the best pair of $T_{n} \& T_{2 n}$ available, when $A \approx T_{2 n}+\frac{1}{3}\left(T_{2 n}-T_{n}\right)$ or $\frac{4 T_{2 n}}{3}-\frac{T_{n}}{3}$

Note: The same result can be obtained more simply as follows:
$T_{2 n}-A \approx \frac{T_{n}-A}{4} \Rightarrow T_{2 n}-\frac{T_{n}}{4} \approx \frac{3 A}{4}$
$\Rightarrow A \approx \frac{4 T_{2 n}}{3}-\frac{T_{n}}{3}$

The same result applies to the Midpoint rule.

For Simpson's rule,
$A \approx S_{32}+\frac{1}{16}\left(S_{32}-S_{16}\right)\left(\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{16}}\right)=S_{32}+\frac{1}{15}\left(S_{32}-S_{16}\right)$ etc
and generally: $A \approx S_{4 n}+\frac{1}{15}\left(S_{4 n}-S_{2 n}\right)$ or $\frac{16 S_{4 n}}{15}-\frac{S_{2 n}}{15}$

