

**2007 MAT – Q6** (4 pages;17/11/23)**Solution**

(i) Let  $A = 1$  denote A always tells the truth,  $A = \frac{1}{2}$  denote A tells truth or lies at random, and  $A = 0$  denote A always lies.

From A's statement,

$A = 1 \Rightarrow A = 0$ ; ie a contradiction

$A = \frac{1}{2}$  & currently telling the truth  $\Rightarrow A = 0$ ; ie a contradiction

$A = \frac{1}{2}$  & currently lying  $\Rightarrow A = 1$  or  $\frac{1}{2}$ ; ie consistent with  $A = \frac{1}{2}$

$A = 0 \Rightarrow A = 1$  or  $\frac{1}{2}$ ; ie a contradiction

So  $A = \frac{1}{2}$

Then B's statement implies that  $B = 0$  (B cannot =  $\frac{1}{2}$ , as  $A = \frac{1}{2}$ ).

Hence  $G = 1$ .

So Alf tells the truth or lies at random, Beth always lies, and Gemma always tells the truth.

(ii) Define the following states [to improve the notation!]:

$X = 1$ : X always tells the truth

$X = 2$ : X always lies

$X = 3$ : X tells the truth or lies at random, and is currently telling the truth

$X = 4$ : X tells the truth or lies at random, and is currently lying

Suppose that  $G = 1$ . Then, from  $G$ 's statement,  $B = 1$ . But it isn't possible for both  $B$  &  $G$  to equal 1. So  $G \neq 1$ .

Suppose instead that  $G = 2$ . Then, from  $G$ 's statement,  $B \neq 1$ . And it isn't possible for both  $B$  &  $G$  to equal 2. Also, from  $B$ 's statement,  $B$  cannot equal 4, but could equal 3.

So one solution is that  $G = 2, B = 3$ , and therefore  $A = 1$ .

Suppose instead that  $G = 3$ . Then, from  $G$ 's statement,  $B = 1$ . But this is contradicted by  $B$ 's statement. So  $G \neq 3$ .

Suppose instead that  $G = 4$ . Then, from  $G$ 's statement,  $B \neq 1$ . And it isn't possible for  $B$  to equal 3 or 4 (ie tell the truth or lie at random)(as  $G = 4$ ). But  $B = 2$  is inconsistent with  $B$ 's statement. So  $G \neq 4$ .

Thus the only solution is that  $A = 1, B = 3$  and  $G = 2$ ;

ie Alf always tells the truth, Gemma always lies, and Beth tells the truth or lies at random

**(iii) Suppose that  $A = 1$ .**

Then Alf's statement implies that  $B = 3$  or  $4$ .

Gemma's statement implies that  $G = 2$  or  $4$ , so that either

$B = 3$  &  $G = 2$  (as if one person is 3 or 4, then no one else can be 3 or 4), or  $B = 4$  &  $G = 2$

Thus  $A = 1, B = 3$  or  $4, G = 2$

Beth's statement is then consistent with this, as she could be telling the truth or lying.

**So  $A = 1, B = 3$  or  $4, G = 2$  is a possibility (\*)**

**Suppose instead that  $A = 2$ .**

Then Alf's statement implies that  $B = 1$ , and so  $G = 3$  or  $4$ .

And Gemma's statement implies that  $G = 3$ .

If  $B = 1$ , then Beth's statement means that we can deduce who is telling the truth. But this is contradicted by the fact that it is possible that either  $A = 1$  (*from (\*)*) or  $B = 1$ .

**So  $A \neq 2$ .**

**Suppose instead that  $A = 3$ .**

But this is contradicted by Alf's statement.

**So  $A \neq 3$ .**

**Suppose instead that  $A = 4$ .**

This is then consistent with Alf's statement.

Then either  $B = 1$  &  $G = 2$  (A) or  $B = 2$  &  $G = 1$  (B)

If (A) is true, then Gemma's statement implies that  $A \neq 2$  (which is consistent with  $A = 4$ ). But, as before, Beth's statement means that we can deduce who is telling the truth. But this is contradicted by the fact that it is possible that either

$A = 1$  (*from (\*)*) or  $B = 1$ .

If instead (B) is true, then Gemma's statement implies that  $A = 2$ , which contradicts  $A = 4$ .

**So  $A \neq 4$ .**

So the only possibility is  $A = 1, B = 3$  or  $4, G = 2$

ie Alf always tells the truth, and Gemma always lies, with Beth telling the truth or lying at random